	2 Corinthians 1.3-11	Greek Text UBS 3 corrected [198	3]	A stiff, formal, g	grammatical translation	
3	Εὐλογητὸς 🧳 θεὸς —		18	Blesse	ed [be] the God	
		ήμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ	19		and	of our Lord Jesus Christ
	πατήρ -		20		father	
	ό πατὴρ τῶν οἰκτιρμῶν 🦳		21	the fa	ther of mercies [com	npassionate acts]
	кох		22	and		
	θεός πάσης παρακλήσεως 🚽		23		od of all comfort	
4	ό παρακαλῶν ἡμᾶς		24		s comforting [consta	
	έπὶ πάση τῆ θλ	ίψει ἡμῶν	25	in,[up	onevery occasion] a	
		of purpose	26		so that we are able	
	παρακαλεῖν		27		to c	comfort [constantly]
	τοὺς ἐν	πάση θλίψει	28			those in every trouble
	διὰ τῆς	παρακλήσεως	29			ough the comfort
	ἧς παρακαλούμεθα αὐτοὶ		30		which we a	are being comforted
	relative pronoun ὑπὸ τοῦ	θεοῦ	31			by God
5	ὅτι καθὼς	1	<i>32</i> <i>33</i>	that, j	ust as [according as]	
	περισσεύει τὰ παθήματα	ιθήματα			the sufferings	abound
	τοῦ Χρι	στοῦ	34			Christ
	εἰς ἡμᾶς		35		in [to, unto] us
	οὑτως		36	so it is that [thus it is that]		
	διὰ τοῦ χριστοῦ		37		through Christ	
	περισσεύει		38		abounds	
	καὶ ἡ παρακλήσις ἡμ	ῶν	39			o our comfort
6	είτε δὲ θλιβόμεθα	'	40	for whether	we are being stress	
	ύπερ τῆς ὑμῶν ▶παρο	χκλήσεως	41	conce	rning [on behalf of ,b	because of] your comfort
	*	αì	42			and
	σωτηρ	ίας	43			deliverance
	είτε παρακαλούμεθα		44	or whether	we are being comf	
	ύπερ τῆς ὑμῶν παρακ	λησεως / textual variant 1	45		on behalf of the co	
	τῆς ἐνεργουμέν	ης /	46			being effected [worked] in you
	έν ὑπομονῆ		47		in enduran	
	τῶν αὐτῶν παθ	θημάτων /	48			of their sufferings
	ὧν καὶ ἡμεις πάσχομεν.⇔ ͺ		49		which also even we	
	1					Page 1

		0.2.7	
	2 Corinthians 1.3-11 Greek Text UBS 3 corrected [198]		A stiff, formal, grammatical translation
7	•• καὶ ἡ ἐλπὶς ἡμῶν / punctuation	50	and our hope
	\int βεβαία \int variant 1	51	is made firm
	punctuation variant 2 υπερ υμών \blacktriangleleft \Longrightarrow	52	concerning you
	• εἰδότες ὅτι	53	knowing that
	ώς κοινωνοί έστε τῶν παθημάτων	54	just as we are sharers of sufferings
	οὖτως καὶ τῆς παρακλήσεως.	55	thus [we are] also of the comfort
		56	
8	Οὐ γὰρ θέλομεν ὑμας	57	For we do not wish [want] you
	άγνοεῖν	58	to be ignorant
	άδελφοί	59	brethren
	ύπερ τῆς θλίψεως ἡμῶν	60	concerning our troubles
	τῆς γενομένης	61	which happened
	έν τῆ ᾿Ασία	62	in Asia
	ότι καθ' ύπερβολὴν	63	that beyond measure
	ύπερ δύναμιν εβαρύθημεν	64	above our power we were weighed down
	ώστε εξαπορνθηναι ήμας clause of result	65	with the result that we were in despair
	καὶ τοῦ ζην	66	even of life
9	άλλὰ αὐτοὶ ἐν ἑαυτοῖς	67	but we, in ourselves
	τὸ ἀποκρίμα τοῦ θανάτου	68	the sentence of death
	εσχήκαμεν,	69	having had
	ίνα μη πεποιθότες ώμεν clause of purpose	70	lest [in order that; so that] we might not trust [rely,]
	εφ' εαυτοίς	71	ourselves
	άλλὰ ἐπὶ τῷ Θεῷ	72	but upon God
	τῷ εγείροντι	<i>7</i> 3	who raises
	relative pronoun τους νεκρούς	74	the dead.
10		<i>75</i>	who, out of so terrible a death
	έρρύσατο ήμας	76	rescued us
	Katextual variant 3	77	and
	ρυσεται 🔻	<i>78</i>	will rescue
	είς ὄν ἠλπίκαμεν	79	unto the one [whom] we had set our hope
	▶[ὅτι] καὶ textual variant 4	80	and
	έτι ρυσεται,	81	yet, [still, even yet] will rescue

2 Corinthians 1.3-11

Greek Text UBS 3 corrected [1983]

A stiff, formal, grammatical translation

11 συνυπουγουντῶν 82 83 και ύμῶν 84 ύπερ ήμῶν τῆ δεήσει, 85 ίνα εκ πολλών προσώπων 86 clause of purpose 87 το: είς ήμας χάρισμα 88 διὰ πολλῶν εὐαρισηθῆ 89

in that [while] working together [co-operating] and your for our sake by prayer[request] in order that [so] out of many persons[upturned faces] the gracious gift for us through many to be thanked for on our behalf.

textual variant 1:Bruce Metzger's comment

1.6-7 παρακλήσεως καὶ σωτηρίας εἴτε παρακαλούμεθα, ὑπὲρ τῆς ὑμῶν παρακλήσεως τῆς ἐνεργουμένης ἐν ὑπομονῆ τῶν αὐτῶν παθημάτων ὧν καὶ ἡμεῖς πάσχομεν. (7) καὶ ἡ ἐλπὶς ἡμῶν βεβαία ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν, εἰδότες {Β}

The reading adopted as text is strongly supported by (p^{46}) **R** A C P Ψ 0243 1739 1881 it vg syr cop^{sa,bo} eth al; it alone gives the needed connection between ver. 6 and ver. 7. The other main variant readings seem to have arisen when, through an oversight due to homoeoteleuton (παρακλήσεως to $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$), the words $\kappa \alpha i \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha s \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$ λούμεθα, ὑπὲρ τῆς ὑμῶν παρακλήσεως were accidentally omitted (as also happened in 81 104 630) and afterwards were written in the margin. A later copyist, in an ancestor of B (33) 1241, introduced the words at the beginning of ver. 7 after $\beta \epsilon \beta \alpha i \alpha \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ and, in order to preserve the sense, transferred καὶ σωτηρίας to the end. Such a text also must lie behind D (G) (K) 0209 (614) 2492 Byz Lect it^{d,g,61} goth al, in which, however, for reasons of symmetry, the words καὶ σωτηρίας have been introduced after the first παρακλήσεως. The Textus Receptus, which here is without known manu-εργουμένης έν ύπομονή των αύτων παθημάτων ών και ήμεις πάσχομεν είτε παρακαλούμεθα, ὑπὲρ τῆς ὑμῶν παρακλή- $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ καὶ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha s$ καὶ $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\beta \epsilon \beta \alpha i \alpha$ $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (7) $\epsilon i \delta \delta \tau \epsilon s \dots$

textual variant 2:Bruce Metzger's comment

1.10 τηλικούτου θανάτου {D}

The text is doubtful. On the one hand, the weight of the external evidence seems to favor the singular $\tau\eta\lambda\iota\kappaο\dot{\nu}\tau o\nu$ $\theta a\nu\dot{\alpha}\tau o\nu$ (N A B C D^{gr} G^{gr} K P Ψ 33 614 1739* Byz Lect cop^{sa,bo} arm Clement al). On the other hand, the oldest known witness (\mathfrak{p}^{46}) reads the plural $\tau\eta\lambda\iota\kappaο\dot{\nu}\tau\omega\nu$ $\theta a\nu\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega\nu$, an expression which, according to Zuntz (The Text of the Epistles, p. 104), "bears the stamp of genuine Pauline diction; cf. ib. xi.23 and vi.4 ff.... The singular clearly arose from the pedantic idea that no one could risk more than one death." A majority of the Committee was impressed by the preponderance of external evidence in support of the singular number, and considered that the plural may have originated from a desire to heighten the intensity of the account, particularly since Paul himself refers to more than one deliverance ("has delivered... and will deliver").

textual variant 3:Bruce Metzger's comment

1.10 καὶ ρύσεται {C}

In view of the following $\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ the words $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$, strongly supported by \mathfrak{p}^{46} \aleph B C P 33 it^g vg cop^{sa, bo} arm al, seemed to some scribes to be superfluous and were therefore omitted (A D* Ψ it^{d,61} syr^p eth^{pp}); other scribes altered the first $\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ to $\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ (D^c G^{gr} K 614 1739 1881 Byz Lect syr^h al), thus producing the sequence of past, present, and future.

textual variant 4:Bruce Metzger's comment

1.10 [ὅτι] καὶ ἔτι {C}

A majority of the Committee regarded the rise of variations in the witnesses as due to the presence of a threefold sequence of particles ($\delta\tau\iota$ $\kappa\alpha\iota$ $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\iota$), one or another of which was dropped by copyists for stylistic reasons. Nevertheless, because of the weight of the combination of \mathfrak{p}^{46} B D^{gr*} 1739 in attesting $\kappa\alpha\iota$ $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ without $\delta\tau\iota$, it was decided to enclose the latter within square brackets.

If the text is read without $\ddot{o}\tau\iota$ a full stop should be placed after $\dot{\eta}\lambda\pi\iota\kappa\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$.

textual variant 5:Bruce Metzger's comment

1.11 $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ (2) {C}

The Committee preferred the reading $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$, which is adequately supported by a variety of witnesses ($\mathbf{p}^{46c} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{G} \mathbf{\Psi} 1739 \, \text{Old Latin vg syr}^{p,h} \, \text{cop}^{\text{sa.bo}} \, \text{goth arm } al)$. The reading $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ ($\mathbf{p}^{46^*} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}^c \mathbf{K} \mathbf{P} 614 \, al)$, which is almost unintelligible in the context, is a scribal blunder, originating from the circumstance that in later Greek η and v were pronounced alike.

punctuation variant 1

There are three alternatives:

[1] a major, a minor

...which even also we suffer. And our hope is firm concerning you, knowing that...

This would lead to punctuation variant 2 [a] below

[2] a minor, a major

...which even also we suffer, and our hope is firm concerning you. Knowing that...

This would lead to punctuation variant 2 [b] below

[3] a minor, a minor

...which even also we suffer, and our hope is firm concerning you, knowing that...

punctuation variant 2

The issue here is where the verse number [7] should be put.

- [a] Does verse 7 start at "And our hope for you is...."
- [b] Or does the verse 7 start at "Knowing that..."

We can see that this is really a subsection of the consideration of punctuation variant 1 above. The choices we make there effect the choices we make here..